



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_4120
Title of the Manuscript:	A generalized non-stationary 4-point b-ary approximating scheme
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The English writing of the article needs to be improved. In the next the authors can find some suggestions. Abstract, line 6, "is used to make convergence"-→"is used for convergence" Keywords: "Approximating"-→"4-point approximating" 2. Some important and closely related literature is not included. For example, Mustafa G, Rehman N A. The mask of $(2b+4)$-point n-ary subdivision scheme [J]. Computing, 2010, 90(1-2): 1-14. Augsdörfer U H, Dodgson N A, Sabin M A. Variations on the four-point subdivision scheme [J]. Computer Aided Geometric Design, 2010, 27(1): 78-95. Pan J, Lin S, Luo X. A combined approximating and interpolating subdivision scheme with C^2	1. Correction has been made. See abstract and keywords. 2. References have been included and cited. See introduction and references. 3. Comments on the suggested references and comparison with this manuscript have been made. See introduction section



SDI Review Form 1.6

	continuity [J]. Applied Mathematics Letters, 2012. 3. In the Introduction part, the authors should give some comments on the above works and compare those works to this manuscript. The innovation of this manuscript and the difference of the present work and the existed literature should be pointed out clearly.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		